1 2 3 4	Bingham McCutchen LLP WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (CA SBN 88805) MIT WINTER (CA SBN 238515) 1900 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223 Telephone: 650.849.4400 william.abrams@bingham.com		
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiff The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junio University	or	
7 8	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COLIRT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University, Plaintiff, v. Stanford Financial Group Company and Stanford Group Company, Defendants.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUING HEARING DATES ON PENDING MOTIONS, AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT STANFORD GROUP COMPANY'S COUNTERCLAIMS Hearing to Show Cause: Existing Date: May 29, 2009 Stipulated Date: June 26, 2009 Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 8 Pending Motions: Existing Date: June 26, 2009 Stipulated Date: July 24, 2009 Response to Counterclaims: Existing Date: June 12, 2009 Stipulated Date: July 10, 2009 Hon. Charles R. Breyer	
26 27 28			

Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-7(a) and the Court's Standing Order, all parties to this action, by 2 and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows: 3 On March 25, 2009, the Court entered an Order to Show Cause requiring the Receiver 4 appointed by the Northern District of Texas to exercise control and possession of the Defendants' assets (the "Receiver") to appear before the Court on April 24, 2009 to explain its 5 6 contention that further proceedings in this action are barred and why this action should not 7 proceed. Document No. 103. In addition, the Court directed the Receiver and the Plaintiff Stanford University ("Stanford") to file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement on or 8 9 before April 17, 2009. On April 17, 2009, the Court entered an order granting the parties' request to continue the hearing to show cause from April 24 to May 29 and directing the parties 10 to file the Joint Case Management Conference Statement on or before May 22, 2009. Document 11 12 No. 106. Since the Court entered the Order to Show Cause, Stanford and the Receiver have 13 14 engaged in settlement discussions, have exchanged a proposed settlement agreement, and are working to finalize a resolution of this action. While the parties have been working diligently to 15 16 reach a resolution and to finalize a settlement agreement, it is unlikely that a final agreement can 17 be reached before the May 22, 2009 filing deadline for the Joint Case Management Conference 18 Statement or the May 29, 2009 date for the hearing to show cause. 19 To provide the parties time to resolve their disputes, Stanford and the Receiver wish to 20 continue the date of the hearing to show cause to June 26, 2009 and to continue the filing date for 21 the Joint Case Management Conference Statement to June 19, 2009. Additionally, Stanford and 22 the Receiver wish to reset the hearing dates for the Defendants' five pending motions, which are 23 all currently set for June 26, 2009, to July 24, 2009, with opposition and reply dates to run from 24 the later, re-scheduled date pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-3, to extend the time for Stanford to respond 25 to Defendant Stanford Group Company's counterclaims from June 12, 2009 to July 10, 2009, 26 and to extend the time for the Defendants to respond to pending discovery requests from June 5, 27 2009 to July 3, 2009.

28

1	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS SO STIPULATED between the parties that there is good		
2	cause for the Court to enter an order resetting the date for the Hearing to Show Cause, which is		
3	currently set for May 29, 2009, to June 26, 2009.		
4	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED between the parties that there is good cause to enter an		
5	order resetting the filing date for the Joint Case Management Conference Statement, which is		
6	currently set for May 22, 2009, to June 19, 2009.		
7	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED between the parties that there is good cause for the		
8	Court to enter an order to reset the hearing dates for Stanford Financial Group Company's		
9	Motion to Dismiss in Part for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 57), Stanford Group		
10	Company's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint For Failure to State a Claim on		
11	Which Relief Can be Granted (Doc. No. 62), Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for		
12	Judgment on the Pleadings and to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 66), Stanford		
13	Financial Group Company's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the First Amended Complaint for		
14	Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 74), and Stanford Financial Group Company's		
15	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 80), which are all currently set for June 26,		
16	2009, to July 24, 2009, with opposition and reply dates to run from the later, re-scheduled date		
17	pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-3.		
18	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED between the parties that there is good cause for the		
19	Court to enter an order extending the time for Stanford to respond to Stanford Group Company's		
20	counterclaims from June 12, 2009 to July 10, 2009.		
21	//		
22	$^{\prime\prime}$		
23	//		
24	//		
25	//		
26			
27			
28	Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB 3		
	CHIQUITO, J. VOTOT TOTO CIND		

1	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the time for the Defendants to respond to pending		
2	discovery requests is extended from June 5, 2009 to July 3, 2009.		
3	DATED: May 20, 2009		
5		Bingham McCutchen LLP	
6			
7			
8		By: /s/ William F. Abrams William F. Abrams	
9		Bingham McCutchen LLP	
10 11		Attorneys for Plaintiff The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University	
12	DATED: May 20, 2000		
13	DATED: May 20, 2009		
14		Thompson & Knight LLP	
15			
16		D. Jal Innian D. Manna	
17		By: /s/ Jessica B. Magee Jessica B. Magee	
		Thompson & Knight, LLP	
18		Attorneys for the Receiver for Defendants	
19		Stanford Financial Group Company and Stanford Group Company	
20		• • •	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB	4	

1	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Hearing to Show		
2	Cause, currently set for May 29, 2009, is re-scheduled for hearing at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 8		
3	on June 26, 2009.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT a Joint Case Management Conference Statement,		
5	currently due to be filed on or before May 22, 2009, shall be filed on or before June 19, 2009.		
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion to		
7	Dismiss in Part for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 57), Stanford Group Company's		
8	Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint For Failure to State a Claim on Which Relief		
9	Can be Granted (Doc. No. 62), Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for Judgment on the		
10	Pleadings and to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 66), Stanford Financial Group		
11	Company's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the First Amended Complaint for Lack of Subject-		
12	Matter Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 74), and Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for Partial		
13	Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 80), set for hearing on May 22, 2009, are re-scheduled for hearing		
14	at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 8 on July 24, 2009, with opposition and reply deadlines to be based		
15	on the re-scheduled date.		
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the time for Stanford to respond to Defendant		
17	Stanford Group Company's counterclaims is extended from June 12, 2009 to July 24, 2009.		
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time for the Defendants to respond to pending		
19	discovery requests is extended from June 5, 2009 to July 3, 2009.		
20			
21			
22	ES DISTRIC		
23	DATED: May 21, 2009 The Hoporable Charles R. Breyer		
24	INITIAL TES DISTRICT CONTEST		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED		
26			
27	Judge Charles R. Breyer		
28	Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB		